## IN THE DRAWINGS

In the final rejection, the Examiner has objected to the drawings "because of the unlabeled rectangular boxes." P.2. At the Examiner's request, Figures 1 and 2 have been amended to include a descriptive legend. Applicants believe these amendments place the drawings in full compliance with 37 CFR 1.84(n) and (o) as well as 37 CFR 1.121(d) and therefore withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

With respect to the claims, the Examiner rejected all of the claims under 35 U.S.C. \$ 103. First, claims 1, 3-4, 6-9, 11, 13, 15-16, 18-20 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. \$ 103 (a) over Brydon (U.S. Patent No. 5,740,795). Next, claims 10, 12 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. \$ 103 as being unpatentable over Brydon, in further view of Rapoport et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,803,066). Finally, claims 2 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. \$ 103 over Brydon, in further view of Farrugia et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,332,463).

## Amendments

While Applicants respectfully disagree with the rejections, independent claims 1 & 15 have been amended to expedite prosecution. Specifically, claims 1 and 15 have been amended to emphasize that the turbine has a particular inertia, that is to say "having an inertia less than about 200 g.cm 2." Support for these amendments can be found in the specification of the pending application. "Therefore, the total inertia of the turbine remains lower than a value of about 200 g.cm $^2$ ." § 121-122.

Applicants believe that the newly amended claims are also novel and non-obvious and that these amendments obviate the rejections over *Brydon*. Finally, because the remainder of the rejected claims depend from either claim 1 or claim 15, the rejection of these claims is also overcome by the present amendments.

In view of the above, each of the presently pending claims in this application is believed to be in immediate condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the outstanding rejection of the claims and to pass this application to issue.

If, however, for any reason the Examiner does not believe that such action can be taken at this time, it is respectfully Application No.: 10/553,643 Docket No.: SAIME 3.3-003

requested that he/she telephone applicant's attorney at (908) 654-5000 in order to overcome any additional objections which he might have.

If there are any additional charges in connection with this requested amendment, the Examiner is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 12-1095 therefor.

Dated: May 21, 2010

Respectfully submitted, Electronic signature: /Thomas M. Palisi/ Thomas M. Palisi Registration No.: 36,629 LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP 600 South Avenue West Westfield, New Jersey 07090 (908) 654-5000 Attorney for Applicant

1145659\_1 DOC